

Heritage Foundation Scandals and Controversies: An In-Depth Analysis

Executive Summary:

The Heritage Foundation stands as a prominent conservative think tank that has significantly influenced American political discourse and policy for decades. While lauded by many for its contributions to conservative thought, the organization has also been the subject of numerous scandals and controversies. These incidents span a wide range of issues, including the ambitious Project 2025 initiative, the dissemination of misinformation regarding the 2024 election, controversial research on topics such as race and immigration, and ethical concerns surrounding potential conflicts of interest and the influence of wealthy donors. The consistent emergence of these controversies raises questions about the foundation's research integrity, ethical standards, and overall impact on public trust. Understanding these incidents is crucial for a comprehensive analysis of the Heritage Foundation's role and influence within the American political landscape.

Introduction:

Established in 1973, the Heritage Foundation has evolved into a cornerstone of the American conservative movement, playing a pivotal role in shaping public policy debates and influencing political administrations ¹. From its early days, the foundation sought to provide intellectual ammunition for conservative principles, a mission that gained significant traction during the Reagan administration. The "Mandate for Leadership," a series of policy recommendations published by Heritage, heavily influenced President Reagan's agenda, with nearly two-thirds of its proposals reportedly being implemented or considered ³. This early success cemented the foundation's status as a powerful force in conservative thought ³. Throughout subsequent administrations, both Republican and Democrat, the Heritage Foundation has continued to exert considerable influence, expanding its reach and impact on both domestic and foreign policy ³. Its flagship journal, *Policy Review*, achieved significant circulation, and the foundation actively engaged in major policy debates, such as the Clinton health care plan and the Affordable Care Act ³. The organization's consistent production of policy reports and its proactive marketing to policymakers and the media have been key to its enduring influence ². This report aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the significant scandals and controversies that have marked the Heritage Foundation's history, drawing upon the provided research material to examine the nature, implications, and responses to these incidents. By exploring these controversies, a deeper understanding of the complexities and challenges associated with this influential organization can be achieved.

Project 2025: A Blueprint for Controversy:

One of the most significant and widely discussed recent initiatives of the Heritage Foundation is Project 2025, also known as the 2025 Presidential Transition Project ³. This ambitious undertaking aims to develop a comprehensive governing agenda for a potential future conservative administration, extending well beyond the immediate transition period ⁶. The project involves a broad coalition of over 100 conservative organizations and draws heavily on

the expertise of former Trump administration officials ⁷. At its core, Project 2025 seeks to provide the next conservative president with a detailed policy blueprint and a database of ideologically aligned personnel ready to be appointed across the executive branch ⁶. The ninth iteration of the Heritage Foundation's "Mandate for Leadership" series serves as the foundational policy document for this project, advocating for a controversial interpretation of the unitary executive theory, which posits that the entire executive branch should be under the president's direct control ⁶.

The policy proposals within Project 2025 are extensive and have generated considerable controversy. A key aspect involves the appointment of civil servants who are explicitly aligned with the president's ideology, coupled with the removal of job protections for potentially tens of thousands of career civil servants deemed "nonperforming" ³. Critics have likened this to creating an "army of suck-ups" and fear it would undermine the independence and expertise of the civil service ³. The project also advocates for significant restrictions on abortion access and opposes LGBTQ+ rights across various sectors, including healthcare, education, and the workplace ³. Furthermore, Project 2025 proposes a radical transformation of federal agencies, aiming to align them more closely with conservative political objectives ³. Strict immigration policies, including the mass detention and deportation of undocumented immigrants and the potential deployment of the U.S. Armed Forces for domestic law enforcement, are also central tenets of the plan ³.

Beyond these core areas, Project 2025 recommends a sweeping rollback of environmental regulations to favor fossil fuels, the criminalization of pornography, and the elimination of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) programs ³. The project even calls for the dismantling or abolition of key federal agencies like the Department of Education and the Department of Homeland Security ³. In the realm of research, the plan suggests prioritizing projects that align with conservative principles and significantly reducing funding for climate change research ⁶. Proposals also include reforming the FBI to prohibit its involvement in combating the spread of misinformation by Americans not tied to criminal activity and completely dismantling the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) ¹³. Additionally, Project 2025 renews the push to add a citizenship question to the census ¹³.

These wide-ranging proposals have drawn intense criticism from various sources, raising serious concerns about their potential impact on democratic institutions and fundamental civil liberties ³. Critics have described Project 2025 as an authoritarian and Christian nationalist plan that could steer the U.S. towards autocracy, undermining the rule of law, the separation of powers, and the separation of church and state ³. The plan's ambition to centralize power within the presidency and its disregard for established norms and laws have been likened to the actions of autocratic regimes ¹⁰. It is argued that implementing these proposals would not only threaten the quality of life for millions of Americans but also fundamentally alter the nature of American democracy ⁷. The project's reliance on the unitary executive theory and its aim to circumvent congressional authority further fuel concerns about an "imperial presidency" ⁶. The sheer scale and radical nature of the proposed changes suggest a significant departure from established democratic principles and a move towards a more centralized and ideologically driven form of governance.

Disinformation and the 2024 Election:

The Heritage Foundation has also faced significant controversy for its role in spreading misinformation about the 2024 US election ³. Notably, *The New York Times* reported that the foundation posted false information and created faked videos alleging widespread voter fraud, particularly by non-citizens, through its Oversight Project ³. One such video falsely claimed that 14% of non-citizens in Georgia were registered to vote, a conclusion based on hidden camera interviews with just seven residents of an apartment complex ³. Despite these claims being debunked by state investigators who found no record of these individuals being registered, the Heritage Foundation continued to promote the videos ³.

This promotion of misinformation occurred even as the Heritage Foundation's own election fraud database, which tracks instances of fraud over the preceding four decades, indicated a relatively small number of documented cases ³. When confronted with data showing only 1,513 instances of voter fraud since 1982, Heritage president Kevin Roberts still asserted that fraud is "very hard to document" and that the Democratic party is adept at it ³. This discrepancy between the foundation's claims and its own data suggests a deliberate effort to amplify the narrative of widespread voter fraud, potentially to undermine public confidence in the electoral process.

The Heritage Foundation's efforts extended beyond online content. In July 2024, individuals working with the foundation engaged in a door-knocking campaign in an apartment complex outside Atlanta, targeting suspected non-citizens and inquiring about their citizenship and voter registration status ¹⁶. These individuals reportedly misrepresented themselves as being affiliated with an organization assisting Latinos with the election system and secretly videotaped the interactions ¹⁶. While some individuals stated they were non-citizens and had registered, state investigators found no evidence to support these claims ¹⁶. Despite this, the Heritage Foundation touted these interactions as proof of widespread non-citizen voting, extrapolating from a small sample to claim that a significant percentage of non-citizens in Georgia were registered ¹⁶.

These actions align the Heritage Foundation with the broader "election denialist movement," which employs tactics such as spreading false narratives of rigged elections, challenging voter registration lists based on flawed data, and promoting the myth of widespread non-citizen voting ¹⁶. The foundation's amplification of deceptive behavior on social media has even led to online harassment and death threats against individuals working with immigrant communities ¹⁶. The use of hidden cameras and misrepresented identities echoes the tactics of groups like Project Veritas, which have faced legal repercussions for similar actions ¹⁶. The consistent promotion of unsubstantiated claims of voter fraud, despite evidence to the contrary and the potential for harm, raises serious ethical concerns about the Heritage Foundation's commitment to truthfulness and the integrity of democratic processes.

FOIA Requests and Government Oversight:

In recent years, the Heritage Foundation, through its Oversight Project, has engaged in a significant campaign of submitting Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests to numerous federal agencies ¹¹. This initiative has involved thousands of requests seeking a wide range of information on government employees, including their communications that might be viewed as politically unfavorable by conservatives ¹¹. The requests have targeted specific terms such as "climate equity," "voting," and "SOGIE" (an acronym for sexual orientation, gender identity, and expression), as well as communications with civil rights and voting rights organizations like the

ACLU and the Native American Rights Fund ¹¹.

The sheer volume of these requests, estimated by the Oversight Project's executive director to be over 50,000 in the past two years, has raised concerns about their potential to overwhelm federal agencies and hinder their ability to perform their duties ¹¹. One government worker responsible for processing FOIA requests reported spending a third of their work time on Heritage's submissions, some of which were described as not being legitimate requests from a real reporter, including searches for terms like "Biden" and "mental" or "defecate" ¹¹.

Critics have voiced concerns that this extensive FOIA campaign is part of a broader effort to identify and potentially intimidate government employees who may not align with a future conservative administration, particularly in light of Project 2025's proposals to remove job protections ¹¹. Noah Bookbinder, president of Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington (CREW), suggested that the flood of requests could be an attempt to intimidate government employees ¹¹. The focus on specific terms related to progressive policy priorities and the requests for communications with civil rights groups further fuel these concerns about political targeting ¹¹.

Furthermore, some of the information sought by the Heritage Foundation has been deemed unusual and potentially intrusive, such as a request for the complete browser history of Interior Secretary Deb Haaland ¹¹. The executive director of the Oversight Project defended these efforts by stating that their goal is to "figure out who the decision-makers are" and understand "what the bureaucrats are doing," asserting that taxpayers are paying these employees' salaries and thus have a right to this information ¹¹. However, the nature and volume of the requests, particularly those seeking seemingly irrelevant or personal information, raise questions about the true intent behind this extensive campaign and whether it primarily serves the purpose of legitimate government oversight or rather functions as a tool for political intelligence gathering and potential harassment.

Controversial Stances on Social Issues:

The Heritage Foundation has a long history of taking controversial stances on various social issues, including race, immigration, and LGBTQ+ rights ³. The foundation has consistently opposed the expansion of anti-discrimination laws to include sexual orientation and gender identity and has criticized DEI initiatives as coercive ¹². One director at the foundation falsely claimed that trans-inclusive restrooms could be exploited by sexual predators ¹². Additionally, Heritage has celebrated policies that limit access to birth control, citing religious liberty ¹². The foundation's broader agenda includes promoting what it deems "traditional American values," which often translates to policies that critics argue are against the interests of communities of color, women, and workers ²⁰.

Perhaps one of the most prominent and damaging controversies related to social issues involved Jason Richwine, who co-authored a controversial Heritage Foundation report on the costs of amnesty for migrants ³. Richwine's 2009 Harvard dissertation argued that there was a "genetic component" to racial disparities in IQ and that Hispanics and Blacks were intellectually inferior to Whites, having trouble assimilating due to a supposed genetic predisposition to lower IQ ³. These views, expressed both in his dissertation and at public events, drew widespread

condemnation as racist ²².

The 2013 Heritage Foundation report co-authored by Richwine also faced significant criticism across the political spectrum for its methodology in estimating the costs of immigration reform ³. Critics, including the Cato Institute and *Reason* magazine, argued that the report failed to use dynamic scoring, which Heritage had previously employed, and that it made questionable methodological choices that inflated the estimated costs ³. The controversy surrounding both Richwine's past writings and the methodology of the report led to intense media scrutiny, ultimately resulting in his resignation from the Heritage Foundation in May 2013 ³.

In response to the controversy, the Heritage Foundation issued a statement emphasizing that they believe every person is created equal and should have equal opportunity ²². However, the fact that the foundation employed Richwine and published his research despite his well-documented views on race and IQ raised serious questions about the organization's values and its tolerance for such discriminatory ideas ²². The incident served as a stark reminder of the potential for think tank research to be influenced by biased or discriminatory premises and highlighted the importance of critically evaluating the sources and methodologies behind policy recommendations, especially those concerning sensitive social issues.

Economic Policy and Public Services:

The Heritage Foundation's economic policy recommendations and analysis have also been a source of controversy, particularly their studies on poverty and welfare, as well as their advocacy for the privatization of public services ³. In July 2011, the foundation released a study on poverty in the United States that drew criticism from various sources, including *The New Republic*, *The Nation*, the Center for American Progress, and *The Washington Post* ³. The study argued that the official poverty definition exaggerates material deprivation in America, pointing to the fact that many households classified as poor own multiple televisions, appliances, and have access to medical care ²⁵. The foundation contended that a family with a decent home, ample food, medical access, a car, and cable television should not be considered poor ²⁵.

Critics argued that this analysis downplayed the real struggles faced by low-income families and relied on a narrow definition of poverty that did not fully account for factors like food insecurity, housing instability, and limited access to opportunities ³. While acknowledging that some families experience significant material hardship, the Heritage Foundation maintained that they are a minority among those classified as poor by the government ²⁵. The foundation has also been a strong proponent of welfare reform, arguing that the 1996 reforms led to a significant decrease in welfare caseloads and an increase in employment among single mothers ²⁶.

Another significant area of controversy in the realm of economic policy is the Heritage Foundation's long-standing advocacy for the privatization of various public services, most notably the United States Postal Service (USPS) ²⁰. The foundation has consistently pushed for policies that would lift restrictions on private delivery, eliminate service-level mandates for the USPS, and ultimately transform it into a privately run organization ²⁰. To support this agenda, the Heritage Foundation has published numerous articles and reports critical of the Postal Service, claiming it is obsolete and financially unsustainable ²⁰. These publications have been described by critics as "slanted hit-jobs filled with blatant lies and misconceptions" about the USPS's operations and finances ²⁰. The American Postal Workers Union has accused the Heritage

Foundation of being "hell-bent" on destroying the public postal service to benefit its ultra-rich donors and corporate partners²⁰. These critics argue that the foundation's advocacy for privatization is not based on accurate research but rather on an ideological commitment to reducing the role of government, even at the expense of essential public services and the well-being of working people²⁰.

Ethical Concerns and Influence:

Several controversies have raised ethical concerns about the Heritage Foundation's operations and the potential influence of personal financial interests and wealthy donors on its policy positions¹. One notable instance involves Edwin Feulner, who served as the foundation's president for many years. In 2005, *The Washington Post* reported that the Heritage Foundation had softened its criticism of the Malaysian government after Feulner initiated a business relationship with the Malaysian prime minister, Mahathir Mohamad³. At the same time, a Hong Kong-based consulting firm co-founded by Feulner began representing Malaysian business interests, with Feulner's wife serving as a senior advisor³.

While the Heritage Foundation denied any conflict of interest, stating that its views on Malaysia changed due to the country's cooperation with the U.S. after the September 11 attacks and its movement in a positive economic and political direction, the timing of the shift in the foundation's stance alongside Feulner's business dealings created an appearance of impropriety³. This incident raised questions about whether the foundation's policy analysis and public statements could be influenced by the personal financial interests of its leadership.

Another significant ethical concern revolves around the Heritage Foundation's funding sources and the potential influence of wealthy donors on its policy agenda¹⁴. Reports indicate that the foundation receives substantial funding from wealthy individuals and corporations, including those affiliated with the Koch brothers¹⁴. Critics argue that this funding structure leads the Heritage Foundation to prioritize the interests of its ultra-rich donors over those of working people, promoting policies that undermine workers' rights, wages, and benefits¹⁴. The American Postal Workers Union, for example, asserts that the foundation is dedicated to increasing the wealth and power of its funders at the expense of the general public²⁰. The perception that the Heritage Foundation's policy recommendations may be driven by the financial interests of a select few rather than objective analysis or the broader public good can damage its credibility and raise questions about its role in shaping public policy.

Responses and Defenses:

The Heritage Foundation has responded to the various scandals and controversies in a number of ways, often involving a combination of denial, justification, and counter-argument³. In the case of the controversy surrounding Edwin Feulner's business dealings in Malaysia, the foundation denied any conflict of interest, attributing its changed views on Malaysia to geopolitical factors³. Regarding Project 2025, the foundation has characterized it as a standard "Mandate for Leadership," a collection of conservative policy recommendations that leaders can choose to adopt²⁹. They have dismissed criticisms as "fearmongering" and "false claims" by the left, arguing that the project represents a threat to the "undemocratic administrative state"²⁹. Specifically, they have refuted claims that Project 2025 proposes cuts to Social Security²⁹.

In response to the Jason Richwine controversy, the Heritage Foundation released a brief statement emphasizing its belief in equal opportunity for all, without directly addressing Richwine's specific views²². When faced with broader criticisms of its policy stances or research, the foundation often defends its work by highlighting its adherence to conservative principles and its track record of influencing policy in line with those principles⁴. For instance, they point to the Reagan administration's adoption of many of their recommendations and their role in shaping the debate around issues like tax reform and healthcare⁴.

Furthermore, the Heritage Foundation has sometimes sought to deflect criticism by accusing their opponents of engaging in "phony scandals" or having politically motivated agendas²⁸. This tactic aims to undermine the credibility of the criticisms and frame the foundation as a victim of partisan attacks. While the Heritage Foundation acknowledges the existence of criticisms and controversies, its responses generally aim to downplay their significance, defend its actions, and reinforce its commitment to its core conservative principles.

Conclusion:

The Heritage Foundation's history is marked by a consistent pattern of influence and controversy. From its pivotal role in the Reagan era to its current ambitious Project 2025, the foundation has undeniably shaped American political discourse and policy. However, alongside its successes in promoting conservative ideas, the organization has faced numerous scandals and controversies that have challenged its reputation and raised questions about its methods and motivations.

Incidents such as the dissemination of misinformation about the 2024 election, the controversial research on race and immigration, the ethical concerns surrounding potential conflicts of interest, and the criticisms of Project 2025 highlight the complex and often contentious nature of the Heritage Foundation's engagement in American politics. These controversies reveal recurring themes, including a willingness to aggressively promote a particular ideological viewpoint, even when faced with contradictory evidence or ethical concerns. The reliance on funding from wealthy donors and the close alignment with certain political factions further contribute to the perception that the foundation's agenda may not always serve the broader public interest.

While the Heritage Foundation defends its actions and dismisses many criticisms as politically motivated, the sheer variety and persistence of these controversies suggest a need for ongoing scrutiny of its research, its ethical standards, and its overall impact on American society. Understanding these scandals and controversies is essential for a nuanced understanding of the conservative movement and the forces that shape public policy in the United States. The Heritage Foundation remains a powerful and influential organization, and its controversies serve as a reminder of the importance of critically evaluating the claims and actions of any entity that seeks to shape public opinion and government policy.

Potential Key Tables:

1. **Table: Major Controversies of the Heritage Foundation**

Controversy	Brief Description	Timeframe	Primary Sources
Project 2025	Ambitious plan for a conservative takeover of the executive branch.	2022 - Present	3
2024 Election Misinformation	Spreading false claims of widespread voter fraud, particularly by non-citizens.	2024	3
FOIA Requests Controversy	Submitting thousands of FOIA requests targeting government employees' communications.	2023 - Present	11
Jason Richwine Controversy	Hiring and publishing research by an individual with controversial views on race and IQ.	2013	3
Edwin Feulner Malaysia Controversy	Softening criticism of Malaysia after president initiated business ties.	2005	3
Poverty Study Criticism (2011)	Releasing a study on poverty criticized for its methodology and conclusions.	2011	3
USPS Privatization Advocacy	Promoting policies to privatize the US Postal Service, facing accusations of misinformation.	Ongoing	20

2. Table: Key Criticisms of Project 2025

Policy Area	Specific Proposal	Main Criticisms
Democracy/Governance	Replacing merit-based civil servants with political loyalists; Expanding presidential control over federal agencies.	Potential for authoritarianism, undermining rule of law and separation of powers, creating an "army of suck-ups," leading to an "imperial presidency" ³ .
Social Issues	Restricting abortion access; Opposing LGBTQ+ rights; Ending DEI programs; Criminalizing pornography.	Threatening fundamental rights and freedoms, enabling discrimination ³ .
Environment	Reducing environmental regulations; Limiting climate change research.	Ignoring scientific consensus, prioritizing fossil fuels over environmental protection ³ .
Immigration	Mass deportations; Deploying military for domestic law enforcement.	Human rights concerns, potential for family separation and social disruption ³ .
Education	Dismantling the Department of Education; Promoting school choice with vouchers.	Undermining public education, weakening federal oversight of civil rights in schools ³ .
Justice/Law Enforcement	Reforming the FBI to limit its role in combating misinformation; Dismantling CISA.	Hindering efforts to protect elections and combat disinformation ¹³ .

Works cited

1. The Heritage Foundation | Encyclopedia MDPI, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/33259>
2. Edwin J. Feulner | The Heritage Foundation, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.heritage.org/staff/edwin-j-feulner>
3. The Heritage Foundation - Wikipedia, accessed March 18, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Heritage_Foundation

4. About Heritage - The Heritage Foundation, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.heritage.org/about-heritage/impact>

5. Edwin Feulner - Wikipedia, accessed March 18, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edwin_Feulner

6. Project 2025 - Wikipedia, accessed March 18, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_2025

7. The People's Guide to Project 2025 - Democracy Forward, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://democracyforward.org/the-peoples-guide-to-project-2025/>

8. Project 2025, Explained | American Civil Liberties Union, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.aclu.org/project-2025-explained>

9. Press Releases - Project 2025, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.project2025.org/category/news/press-releases/>

10. Project 2025 Would Destroy the U.S. System of Checks and Balances and Create an Imperial Presidency, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.americanprogress.org/article/project-2025-would-destroy-the-u-s-system-of-checks-and-balances-and-create-an-imperial-presidency/>

11. Heritage Foundation Staffers Flood Federal Agencies With Thousands of Information Requests - ProPublica, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.propublica.org/article/have-government-employees-mentioned-climate-change-voting-or-gender-identity-the-heritage-foundation-wants-to-know>

12. The Heritage Foundation - Pro-Lies.org | Extreme. Toxic. Out of Touch., accessed March 18, 2025, <https://pro-lies.org/the-heritage-foundation/>

13. Unmasking the Anti-Democracy Agenda of Project 2025, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.democracydocket.com/analysis/unmasking-the-anti-democracy-agenda-of-project-2025/>

14. What Are Some Criticisms of the Heritage Foundation? | CountyOffice.org - YouTube, accessed March 18, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9XSbYG19_bk

15. Heritage Foundation and Allies Discuss Dismantling the EU - DeSmog, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.desmog.com/2025/03/14/heritage-foundation-project-2025-allies-mcc-ordo-iuris-discuss-dismantling-the-eu-european-union/>

16. From the People Who Brought You Project 2025: Manufactured Evidence of Voter Fraud, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/people-who-brought-you-project-2025-manufactured-evidence-voter-fraud>

17. Republican Party efforts to disrupt the 2024 United States presidential election - Wikipedia, accessed March 18, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Republican_Party_efforts_to_disrupt_the_2024_United_States_presidential_election

18. The Foundation Behind Project 2025 Manufactured Evidence of Voter Fraud | Truthout, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://truthout.org/articles/the-foundation-behind-project-2025-manufactured-evidence-of-voter-fraud/>

19. Deceive, Disrupt, Deny - Protect Democracy, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://protectdemocracy.org/election-subversion-guide/>

20. The Heritage Foundation: A Think Tank on a Mission to Destroy the Public Postal Service, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://apwu.org/news/heritage-foundation-think-tank-mission-destroy-public-postal-service>

21. Jason Richwine - Wikipedia, accessed March 18, 2025,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jason_Richwine

22. Conservative Immigration Scholar: Black and Hispanic Immigrants Are Dumber Than European Immigrants - Mother Jones, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2013/05/heritage-immigration-scholar-race-differences-iq-jason-richwine/>

23. Jason Richwine and the "R" Word, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2013/05/jason-richwine-and-the-r-word.html>

24. Heritage Foundation Report Against "Hispanic Immigrants with Low IQs", accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://chc.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/heritage-foundation-report-against-hispanic-immigrants-with-low-iqs>

25. A Poor Definition of Poverty | The Heritage Foundation, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.heritage.org/poverty-and-inequality/commentary/poor-definition-poverty>

26. Did Welfare Reform Increase Extreme Poverty in the United States?, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.heritage.org/welfare/report/did-welfare-reform-increase-extreme-poverty-the-united-states>

27. President Lai meets US Heritage Foundation founder Dr. Edwin Feulner-News releases-News & activities, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://english.president.gov.tw/News/6918>

28. Pulling back the curtain on 'phony scandals' - The Heritage Foundation, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.heritage.org/defense/commentary/pulling-back-the-curtain-phony-scandals>

29. The Stories Democrats Tell About Project 2025 | The Heritage Foundation, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.heritage.org/conservatism/commentary/the-stories-democrats-tell-about-project-2025>

30. A Timeline of Heritage Successes, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.heritage.org/article/timeline-heritage-successes>

31. Demonstrators protest Project 2025 outside Heritage Foundation - NBC4 Washington, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.nbcwashington.com/news/local/demonstrators-protest-project-2025-outside-heritage-foundation/3869199/>

32. Demonstrators protest Project 2025 outside Heritage Foundation | NBC4 Washington, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=whfxh5utghA>

33. What is Project 2025? What to know about the conservative blueprint for a second Trump administration - CBS News, accessed March 18, 2025,

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/what-is-project-2025-trump-conservative-blueprint-heritage-foundation/>