

Larry Ellison: Biography

Larry Ellison stands as a monumental figure in the contemporary technology landscape, best known as the co-founder and long-serving Chief Executive Officer of Oracle Corporation, a dominant force in database software and enterprise solutions. Beyond his widely acknowledged achievements and the immense financial success he has garnered, Ellison's career, and by extension the history of Oracle, is also marked by a consistent stream of controversies, accusations of questionable ethical conduct, and significant legal confrontations. This report aims to delve into these less celebrated aspects of his biography, providing a detailed examination of the alleged corruption and scandals that have punctuated his professional journey and the evolution of the company he shaped¹. The enduring nature of his leadership at Oracle, where he currently holds the positions of Chief Technology Officer and Executive Chairman, suggests that his personal controversies and the company's alleged wrongdoings are often deeply intertwined. The recurring nature of these issues, juxtaposed with his undeniable success, hints at a potentially uncompromising and aggressive approach to business that has both propelled him to the pinnacle of the tech industry and simultaneously attracted considerable scrutiny.

Lawrence Joseph Ellison was born in New York City and later adopted and raised in Chicago¹. Even in his early years, he displayed a notable aptitude for mathematics, a precursor to his later accomplishments in the realm of computer technology¹. His initial forays into the world of computer programming laid the groundwork for his future endeavors¹. Although he attended both the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and the University of Chicago, he ultimately did not graduate from either institution¹. This trajectory suggests a personality perhaps more inclined towards practical application and entrepreneurial pursuits than traditional academic routes, a characteristic that might be reflected in his later business decisions. His early professional experience included a significant period at Ampex, where he collaborated with individuals who would later become his co-founders at Oracle, namely Bob Miner and Ed Oates². A pivotal moment in his early career was his involvement at Omex Corporation, where he contributed to the development of a complex database system for the Central Intelligence Agency, a project bearing the codename "Oracle"⁴. This experience proved to be directly influential in the naming of his own company, Software Development Laboratories (SDL), which he founded in 1977 with an initial investment of just \$2,000. SDL would later be rebranded as Oracle, taking its name from the very technology he had previously worked on for the CIA². This early connection to a high-stakes, secretive government project suggests a foundational ambition and a strategic leveraging of prior experience that would

characterize his subsequent career.

The early 1990s presented a significant challenge to Oracle, with the company teetering on the brink of bankruptcy¹. This crisis was largely attributed to an aggressive "up-front" marketing strategy¹. Under this approach, Oracle's sales personnel were incentivized to persuade potential clients to commit to the largest possible software purchases, often for future use. The value of these anticipated license sales was then prematurely recorded as current revenue, a practice that artificially inflated the company's financial performance and the salespeople's bonuses¹. However, when these projected future sales failed to materialize as expected, the discrepancy between reported earnings and actual financial standing became stark, leading to a severe financial downturn and necessitating the layoff of approximately 10% of Oracle's workforce¹. The consequences of these aggressive accounting practices were far-reaching, compelling Oracle to issue multiple restatements of its earnings. Furthermore, the company faced a series of class-action lawsuits from shareholders who alleged that Oracle had misrepresented its financial health by overstating its earnings¹. In a candid reflection on this turbulent period, Larry Ellison himself acknowledged the "up-front" marketing strategy as "an incredible business mistake"¹. This episode illustrates a pattern of prioritizing rapid growth and ambitious sales targets, even if it involved employing ethically dubious accounting methods. While the company ultimately recovered from this near-catastrophic situation, demonstrating Ellison's leadership and resilience⁶, the underlying cause of the crisis raises fundamental questions about the ethical principles upon which Oracle's subsequent success was built. The willingness to engage in such aggressive revenue recognition practices in the pursuit of rapid expansion suggests a potential early inclination towards high-risk strategies with a seemingly lesser concern for long-term financial stability or ethical considerations.

Throughout its history, Oracle has faced several allegations of anti-competitive behavior, often coinciding with its significant expansion and attempts to solidify its dominance in the software market.

4.1. Sun Microsystems Acquisition

In 2010, Oracle's acquisition of Sun Microsystems, a move that significantly expanded its hardware and software portfolio, drew the attention of antitrust regulators³. The United States Department of Justice (DOJ) initiated an antitrust lawsuit against Oracle, expressing concerns that the acquisition of Sun would substantially lessen competition within the database market⁷. The DOJ argued that by acquiring Sun, a key competitor in certain segments, Oracle would gain an unfair advantage,

potentially leading to reduced innovation and higher prices for consumers. Despite these concerns raised by the regulatory body, Oracle ultimately prevailed in this legal battle ⁷. While snippets⁸ and⁹ primarily detail a trademark dispute in the UK involving Oracle (formerly Sun) and M-Tech Data, and¹⁰ describes a later antitrust claim related to tying updates to support contracts following the acquisition, they collectively illustrate the complex legal environment in which Oracle operates.¹¹ confirms the European Union's approval of the Oracle-Sun Microsystems acquisition. The DOJ's intervention in the Sun acquisition case, even though unsuccessful, underscores the scrutiny that Oracle's growth strategies have faced from regulatory authorities concerned about maintaining a competitive landscape within the technology industry. Oracle's ability to successfully defend its acquisition in the face of such opposition demonstrates its adeptness at navigating intricate legal challenges and its determination to pursue its strategic objectives for market expansion.

4.2. Attempted Acquisition of PeopleSoft

Years prior, in 2003, Oracle launched a hostile takeover bid for PeopleSoft, a significant competitor in the enterprise software market ¹². This attempt was met with fierce resistance from PeopleSoft's Chief Executive Officer, Craig Conway, who voiced strong objections to the proposed acquisition and famously likened Larry Ellison to Genghis Khan, citing what he described as Oracle's "atrociously bad corporate behavior" ¹³. Conway argued that the takeover was a thinly veiled attempt to eliminate a competitor and disrupt the market. The DOJ also launched an investigation into the potential antitrust implications of this proposed merger ¹³. Ultimately, the DOJ decided to sue to block the transaction, echoing concerns about the reduction of competition in the enterprise resource planning (ERP) software market ¹³. However, in a setback for the regulatory body, Oracle successfully defended its position in federal court, and the attempt to block the acquisition was unsuccessful ¹³. The aggressive and unsolicited nature of Oracle's bid for PeopleSoft, coupled with the intensely personal and negative rhetoric exchanged between Ellison and Conway, highlights Ellison's intensely competitive nature and his apparent willingness to employ forceful tactics to diminish or eliminate rivals in the market. The comparison to Genghis Khan serves as a stark indicator of the perception held by some within the industry regarding Ellison's business methods as potentially ruthless and detrimental to fair competition. The fact that both the Sun and PeopleSoft acquisitions faced significant antitrust scrutiny from regulatory bodies, regardless of the final legal outcomes, suggests a recurring pattern in Oracle's growth strategies that consistently raises concerns about increasing market concentration and the potential for anti-competitive practices within the technology sector.

4.3. Other Antitrust Concerns

Beyond these high-profile cases, Oracle has faced other instances of antitrust allegations throughout its history⁷. Snippet⁷ broadly mentions "several antitrust lawsuits over the years," with accusations that Oracle leveraged its dominant position in the database market to suppress competition. While the provided material lacks specific details on all these instances, the recurring nature of such allegations suggests a potential underlying issue related to Oracle's business practices and its strategies for maintaining and expanding its market share. Even without comprehensive information on each individual lawsuit, the repeated accusations imply that Oracle's growth and competitive approaches have frequently tested the boundaries of antitrust regulations and have drawn the attention of legal challenges.

Oracle and Larry Ellison have been subject to considerable criticism regarding their alleged unethical business practices and the corporate culture fostered within the company⁷. A recurring theme in these criticisms⁷ is the portrayal of Oracle's internal environment as "cutthroat and sometimes toxic"⁷. Former employees have reportedly described a workplace characterized by a "culture of fear and intimidation," where managers exerted pressure on employees to work excessively long hours and to prioritize the company's interests above all else⁷. The book "Everyone Else Must Fail: The Unvarnished Truth About Oracle and Larry Ellison" further reinforces this image, depicting a management style under Ellison that is both ruthless and highly controlling¹². This book details alleged instances of Ellison systematically removing key executives who were perceived to have gained too much influence within the company¹². Oracle's marketing strategies under Ellison have also been noted for their direct and often aggressive attacks on competitors, a practice considered unusual within the technology industry¹². Furthermore, there have been suggestions of a disregard for customer needs, with a greater emphasis placed on maximizing sales and revenue¹². The saying "It's not enough that I succeed, everyone else must fail," frequently associated with Ellison, encapsulates this fiercely competitive and potentially ruthless mindset¹². The consistent depiction of Oracle's corporate culture as highly competitive and sometimes detrimental to employee well-being, directly linked to Ellison's leadership, indicates a potential ethical deficiency within the organization. This environment may have prioritized aggressive tactics and internal rivalry over principles of ethical conduct and employee welfare. The alleged practice of systematically removing successful executives who might pose a threat to Ellison's authority raises significant concerns about corporate governance and the potential suppression of talent and innovation within Oracle. This behavior suggests a strong emphasis on maintaining absolute control at the highest level, potentially at the cost

of the overall health and morale of the company and its employees.

A significant area of controversy surrounding Oracle involves its software licensing policies and the practices associated with auditing their usage⁷. Critics frequently describe Oracle's licensing terms as being deliberately complex, unclear, and often perceived as unfair, with the primary aim of maximizing revenue through aggressive audit campaigns and the imposition of unexpected fees⁷. Several legal disputes have arisen from these practices. For instance, the city of Sunrise, Florida, filed a lawsuit against Oracle, alleging that the company had overcharged the city for software licenses and engaged in "deceptive sales practices"⁷. Similarly, Mars, Inc., a global manufacturer, initiated legal action to challenge the methods and scope of Oracle's software license audit²¹. Another notable case is the long-standing legal battle between Oracle and Rimini Street, a third-party support provider, where Oracle alleged copyright infringement related to Rimini Street's support services for Oracle customers²³. Oracle's License Management Services (LMS) are central to these controversies, as they are responsible for conducting audits to ensure compliance with licensing agreements²⁰. Concerns have been raised regarding the licensing of products like Java SE and VirtualBox, where seemingly free downloads for personal or development use can unexpectedly lead to substantial retroactive licensing fees if the software is deemed to be used for "commercial use," a term Oracle defines broadly¹⁹. Accusations have also surfaced suggesting that Oracle employs "soft audit campaigns," allowing potential licensing violations to accumulate over extended periods before demanding significant fees¹⁹. The sheer number of controversies and lawsuits related to Oracle's software licensing indicates a systemic problem with the company's approach, leading to widespread dissatisfaction among customers and a pattern of legal challenges. The consistent complaints about unclear policies, unexpected charges, aggressive audits, and allegations of deceptive tactics across numerous sources point to a strategy that prioritizes revenue generation through potentially questionable means. Oracle's assertive pursuit of licensing fees, even from organizations that may have been unaware of the intricacies of the licensing terms¹⁹, raises significant ethical questions about the company's responsibility to ensure clarity and transparency in its contractual agreements with customers. The inherent power imbalance between a large, sophisticated corporation like Oracle and its customers, particularly smaller businesses²⁶, makes these aggressive enforcement tactics appear potentially exploitative.

Oracle has also faced significant scrutiny and legal action concerning its labor practices and allegations of discrimination.

7.1. Department of Labor Lawsuit (2016)

In 2016, the United States Department of Labor filed a lawsuit against Oracle, alleging that the company engaged in discriminatory practices by paying white male employees more than their female and minority counterparts⁷. The lawsuit further claimed that Oracle discriminated against job applicants based on their race and gender⁷. Oracle vehemently denied these accusations⁷. Separately, the Department of Labor's Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) conducted an administrative case against Oracle, alleging a staggering \$400 million in underpaid wages to women and minority employees over a four-year period²⁸. However, in 2020, Oracle achieved a victory in this particular administrative case²⁸. Despite this win, the initial lawsuit and the OFCCP investigation indicate significant concerns at the federal level regarding potential systemic discrimination within Oracle's compensation and hiring practices. The fact that these legal actions were initiated by government agencies tasked with enforcing anti-discrimination laws suggests underlying issues within the company's employment practices, regardless of the final outcome of the OFCCP case.

7.2. Settlement in California Wage Discrimination Lawsuit (2024)

More recently, in 2024, Oracle agreed to a \$25 million settlement to resolve a long-standing class-action lawsuit brought by female employees in California²⁷. The lawsuit, which originated in 2017, alleged that Oracle had willfully engaged in the practice of paying female employees lower wages compared to their male colleagues for performing substantially similar work²⁷. While Oracle did not admit to any wrongdoing as part of the settlement agreement²⁸, the significant financial payout and the agreement to engage a third-party human resources specialist to conduct an analysis of job roles and compensation within the company's product development, information technology, and support groups²⁷ suggest a recognition of potential disparities that needed to be addressed. Approximately 4,000 current and former Oracle employees in California were estimated to be eligible for compensation under the terms of the settlement²⁷. The resolution of this lawsuit, even without an admission of guilt from Oracle, implies an acknowledgment of the potential legal risks and the substantial financial implications of continuing the litigation. The commitment to an external review of compensation practices further indicates a need for Oracle to address potential gender-based pay inequities within its workforce. The fact that Oracle faced both a federal investigation and a significant class-action lawsuit concerning pay discrimination within a relatively short timeframe points to a possible pattern of systemic issues related to ensuring fair compensation practices throughout the company.

In 2005, Larry Ellison agreed to settle an insider trading lawsuit that had been ongoing for four years by offering to donate \$100 million to charity in Oracle's name ¹. While the specifics of the allegations are not detailed in the provided snippets, the fact that Ellison chose to settle the case, even through a substantial charitable contribution, suggests that he faced credible accusations of improper financial activities. However, a California judge refused to permit Oracle to cover Ellison's legal fees, which amounted to \$24 million ¹. Ellison's lawyer argued that if Ellison were to personally pay these fees, it could be interpreted as an admission of guilt ¹. Furthermore, Ellison's significant charitable donations to Stanford University around the same time raised questions about the potential lack of independence of two Stanford professors who were involved in evaluating the merits of the case for Oracle ¹. This situation highlights the complex ethical considerations that can arise in high-profile legal battles involving wealthy individuals and their interactions with charitable institutions. The settlement, while avoiding a formal admission of guilt, nonetheless suggests the seriousness of the insider trading allegations leveled against Ellison.

In 2019, a significant lawsuit was filed naming Larry Ellison, among other supporters of Israel, with extraordinary allegations of conspiring to ethnically cleanse Palestinians from Israeli-occupied territories, committing war crimes, and funding genocide ¹. The sheer scale and nature of these accusations are remarkable, even though the case was ultimately dismissed in February 2024 ¹. While the provided snippets offer limited details regarding the specifics of these claims, the fact that a \$1 billion lawsuit containing such severe allegations was filed against Ellison underscores the potential for his business affiliations and personal beliefs to intersect with highly sensitive and complex geopolitical issues. This intersection can lead to significant reputational risks, regardless of the final legal outcome. Even though the case was dismissed, the existence of such a lawsuit and the gravity of the accusations could have potentially damaged Ellison's public image and impacted Oracle's reputation among certain segments of the public and its stakeholders.

More recently, Larry Ellison's views on the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) in surveillance have sparked considerable controversy ³⁴. Ellison publicly suggested that AI-driven systems could be implemented to monitor citizens continuously, ostensibly to ensure good behavior, and to provide constant supervision of police officers, with AI reporting any issues to the appropriate authorities ³⁴. He even proposed the idea of AI drones replacing traditional police cars in high-speed pursuits ³⁴. These statements have ignited significant debate and raised substantial privacy concerns, with many commentators drawing parallels to China's social credit system, which employs

widespread surveillance and data collection to assess and control citizens' behavior³⁴. Critics argue that such a vision of pervasive AI surveillance poses a serious threat to individual privacy and civil liberties, potentially leading to a dystopian scenario of constant monitoring and self-censorship. While Ellison framed these ideas as a means to enhance safety and law enforcement efficiency, the potential for misuse of such powerful surveillance technologies and the erosion of personal privacy remain major points of contention. This recent controversy highlights Ellison's ongoing willingness to express strong and sometimes provocative opinions on topics that extend beyond the immediate business interests of Oracle, and these opinions have the potential to significantly impact both his personal and the company's public image.

Beyond the corporate and legal controversies, Larry Ellison's personal life has also attracted considerable media attention and, at times, scrutiny. His multiple marriages and divorces have been well-documented¹. He is also known for his exceptionally lavish lifestyle and extravagant spending habits, including the acquisition of the vast majority of the Hawaiian island of Lanai¹. Furthermore, Ellison was previously the subject of a sexual harassment lawsuit¹². While these personal aspects are distinct from allegations of corporate corruption, they contribute to the overall public perception of Ellison as a larger-than-life figure who is both admired for his success and, at times, criticized for his personal conduct and priorities. These personal controversies, though not the primary focus of this report, contribute to the complex and often divisive image that Ellison projects in the business world.

In conclusion, the biography of Larry Ellison, particularly when examined through the lens of alleged corruption and scandal, reveals a complex and multifaceted figure. His career and the history of Oracle Corporation are marked not only by remarkable innovation and unparalleled success in the technology industry but also by a consistent pattern of controversies, legal battles, and ethical criticisms. These include the near-bankruptcy of Oracle in the 1990s due to aggressive accounting practices, numerous antitrust allegations stemming from strategic acquisitions, persistent accusations of fostering an unethical and cutthroat corporate culture, widespread disputes over complex software licensing policies, significant labor-related lawsuits and discrimination claims, allegations of insider trading, a billion-dollar lawsuit alleging severe international offenses, and recent controversy surrounding his views on AI surveillance. As noted in the research material, Ellison has been described as "one of Silicon Valley's most divisive figures, being both admired for his great success and deplored for his sometimes ruthless business methods"². The narrative of Larry Ellison's professional life serves as a compelling case study in the potential trade-offs that can arise between aggressively competitive business practices aimed at

achieving market dominance and the adherence to ethical principles and legal standards. The numerous controversies that have shadowed his career suggest that his relentless pursuit of success has, on occasion, intersected with questionable ethical boundaries and triggered significant legal and reputational challenges for both himself and Oracle.

Key Valuable Tables:

1. Summary of Key Antitrust Allegations Against Oracle

Acquisition/Target Company	Year of Allegation/Lawsuit	Nature of Allegation	Outcome	Relevant Snippet IDs
Sun Microsystems	2010	Harm competition in the database market	Oracle won DOJ lawsuit	3
PeopleSoft	2003	Hostile takeover attempt, reduce competition in ERP market	DOJ sued to block but lost	12
Various (General)	Ongoing	Using market dominance to stifle competition	Various outcomes, some ongoing	7

2. Summary of Key Labor and Discrimination Lawsuits Involving Oracle

Year of Lawsuit/Allegation	Plaintiff/Initiating Party	Nature of Allegation	Outcome	Relevant Snippet IDs
2016	U.S. Department of Labor	Pay discrimination based on race and gender, discrimination in	Oracle denied allegations	7

		hiring		
2017 (Administrative Case)	Department of Labor's OFCCP	Underpayment of women and minorities	Oracle won the case	28
2017 (Class Action)	Female employees in California	Wage discrimination against women	Oracle settled for \$25 million	27

Works cited

1. Larry Ellison - Wikipedia, accessed March 18, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Larry_Ellison
2. Larry Ellison | Biography, Oracle, & Facts | Britannica Money, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/money/Larry-Ellison>
3. Larry Ellison Net Worth, Biography, Age, Spouse, Children & More - Goodreturns, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.goodreturns.in/larry-ellison-net-worth-and-biography-blnr8.html>
4. Larry Ellison - Biography - IMDb, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.imdb.com/name/nm0255213/bio/>
5. Who Is Larry Ellison? What Is He Famous for? - Investopedia, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/l/larry-ellison.asp>
6. Larry Ellison's Journey: From Dropout to Tech Billionaire - AdviceScout, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.advicescout.com/larry-ellisons-journey/>
7. Controversies And Criticisms Surrounding Ellison And Oracle - FasterCapital, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://fastercapital.com/topics/controversies-and-criticisms-surrounding-ellison-and-oracle.html>
8. Oracle (formerly Sun) v M-Tech: Supreme Court Judgment - Society for Computers & Law, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.scl.org/2490-oracle-formerly-sun-v-m-tech-supreme-court-judgment/>
9. Case Comment: Oracle America Inc (formerly Sun Microsystems Inc) v M-Tech Data Ltd [2012] UKSC 27 - UK Supreme Court Blog, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://ukscblog.com/case-comment-oracle-america-inc-formerly-sun-microsystems-inc-v-m-tech-data-limited-2012-uksc-27/>
10. Monopoly Claims Against Oracle Get Green Light - Courthouse News Service, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.courthousenews.com/monopoly-claims-against-oracle-get-green-light/>
11. M.5529 - ORACLE / SUN MICROSYSTEMS - Competition case search, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://competition-cases.ec.europa.eu/cases/M.5529>
12. Everyone Else Must Fail: The Unvarnished Truth About Oracle and Larry Ellison,

- accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://www.amazon.com/Everyone-Else-Must-Fail-Unvarnished/dp/0609610694>
13. Lessons Learned from United States v. Oracle Corp. - Federal Trade Commission, accessed March 18, 2025,
https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public_statements/lessons-learned-united-states-v.oracle-corp./120131oraclelessons.pdf
 14. Larry Ellison at Oracle Computer[] - Chegg, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://www.chegg.com/homework-help/questions-and-answers/larry-ellison-oracle-computer-larry-ellison-founder-executive-chairman-oracle-computer-who-q30785428>
 15. U.S. and Plaintiff States v. Oracle Corp. | United States Department of Justice, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://www.justice.gov/atr/case/us-and-plaintiff-states-v-oracle-corporation>
 16. U.S. And Plaintiff States V. Oracle Corp. Trial Exhibits - Department of Justice, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://www.justice.gov/atr/usdoj-antitrust-division-us-and-plaintiff-states-v-oracle-corporation>
 17. In re Oracle Corporation Derivative Litigation :: 2025 :: Delaware Supreme Court Decisions, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://law.justia.com/cases/delaware/supreme-court/2025/139-2024.html>
 18. Oracle gets called out for shady software licensing practices - DMNews, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://dmnews.com/oracle-gets-called-out-for-shady-software-licensing-practices/>
 19. Oracle Blog of Tactical Law Group LLP - TACTICAL LAW, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://www.tacticallawgroup.com/oracle-software-audit-blog/archives/12-2024>
 20. Oracle Software Audit Disputes Attorneys, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://scottandscottllp.com/practice-areas/software-disputes/oracle-software-audits/>
 21. Oracle Mars Lawsuit – What was it about? - Redress Compliance, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://redresscompliance.com/oracle-mars-lawsuit-what-was-it-about/>
 22. Oracle Licensing Litigation 2021: The Year in Review by Tactical Law, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://houseofbrick.com/blog/oracle-licensing-litigation/>
 23. Oracle v. Rimini Street Timeline and Customer Implications - LicenseFortress, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://licensefortress.com/oracle-v-rimini-street-timeline-and-customer-implications/>
 24. Managing Legal Challenges in Oracle Licensing - Redress Compliance, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://redresscompliance.com/managing-legal-challenges-in-oracle-licensing/>
 25. Oracle Software Audits, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://oracleaudits.com/>
 26. Oracle Blog of Tactical Law Group LLP, accessed March 18, 2025,
<https://www.tacticallawgroup.com/oracle-software-audit-blog.html>

27. Calif. women win major settlement with tech giant Oracle over pay gap suit - SFGATE, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.sfgate.com/tech/article/oracle-settlement-women-pay-discrimination-18671976.php>
28. \$25 Million Settlement in California Wage Discrimination Lawsuit - Labor Law Advocates, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://getjusticenow.com/25-million-settlement-in-california-wage-discrimination-lawsuit/>
29. Orrick Employment Team Secures Complete Win for Oracle Against U.S. Department of Labor, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.orrick.com/en/News/2020/09/Orrick-Employment-Team-Secures-Complete-Win-for-Oracle-Against-US-Department-of-Labor>
30. Oracle Victorious in OFCCP's Compensation Discrimination Lawsuit - OutSolve, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.outsolve.com/blog/oracle-victorious-in-ofccps-compensation-discrimination-lawsuit>
31. Oracle Wins Litigation Against OFCCP - PR Newswire, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/oracle-wins-litigation-against-ofccp-301136196.html>
32. DOL won't appeal loss in \$400M Oracle pay bias suit - HR Dive, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.hrdive.com/news/judge-shuts-down-labor-department-400-oracle-pay-bias-suit/585993/>
33. en.wikipedia.org, accessed March 18, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Larry_Ellison#:~:text=Additionally%2C%20in%202019%20a%20%241,was%20dismissed%20in%20February%202024.
34. AI Surveillance Sparked Controversy After Larry Ellison's Viral Post, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://scalebytech.com/ai-surveillance-sparked-controversy-after-larry-ellisons-viral-post/>
35. Larry Ellison wants to create an Orwellian Nightmare | by David Shapiro - Medium, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://medium.com/@dave-shap/larry-ellison-wants-to-create-an-orwellian-nightmare-f07981e99e19>
36. Larry Ellison Foresees a Future Dominated by AI Surveillance Everywhere - AI-Tech Report, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://ai-techreport.com/2024/09/21/larry-ellison-foresees-a-future-dominated-by-ai-surveillance-everywhere/>
37. Billionaire Oracle Co-founder Larry Ellison Says AI Surveillance Will Keep People On Best Behavior - TechDogs, accessed March 18, 2025, <https://www.techdogs.com/tech-news/td-newsdesk/billionaire-oracle-co-founder-larry-ellison-says-ai-surveillance-will-keep-people-on-best-behavior>